

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/065,331	10/04/2002	Pierino Bonanni	121601-1 2194 EXAMINER		
6147	7590 05/04/2005				
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY GLOBAL RESEARCH PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59			LE, JO	LE, JOHN H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NISKAYUN	NISKAYUNA, NY 12309		2863		
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
John H. Le John H. Color John H. Le	Office Action Comments	10/065,331	BONANNI ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period tor Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edisables of the may be available under the previous of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In coverel, however, may a reply be timely filled at the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (00) days, a reply with the statisticy minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered limely. I NO period for reply specified above is less than thinty (00) days, a reply with the statisticy minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered intelligence of the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (00) days, a reply with the statistic provided precision of the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days will be considered intelligence of the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days will be considered intelligence of the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days will be considered intelligence of the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days will be considered intelligence of the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days will be considered intelligence of the period of the peri	Uπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Estandors of line may be autibele wider the provisions of 37 CR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after \$1 k (g) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Foliate for the pile specified above, the machine attention period within the statistory prival material to the policy of the provision of the pile specified above, the machine attention period stage) and visited page of wall respice \$1 k (g) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Fallue to reply within the set or adranded period for reply will by statules, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Alva reply received by the ATOM called then three amongs after this making date of this communication, even # timely filed, may reduce any country country and the provision of the provision of the communication of the communication. Provision of the communication of the communication. Provision of the communication of the							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2005. 2a	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5.11,23,24.26 and 29-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-10.12-22,25.27.28 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 December 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<u>pril 2005</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-10.12-22.25.27.28 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 December 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) some c) None of: 1							
Disposition of Claims 4)							
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5.11.23.24.26 and 29-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6-10.12-22.25.27.28 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 December 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Old Not	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5.11.23.24.26 and 29-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-10.12-22.25.27.28 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 December 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademans Office.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 1-5.11,23,24,26 and 29-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-10,12-22,25,27,28 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 December 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
7) □ Claim(s) 6-10.12-22,25.27.28 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 02 December 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9) □ Other:	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
S Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,11,23,24,26 and 29-31</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 December 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	7) Claim(s) <u>6-10,12-22,25,27,28 and 32</u> is/are ob	jected to.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 December 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 6) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 December 2002</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 December 2002</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1) Other: 1) Other: 1) Other: 1) Other: 1) Other: 1) Certified copies and redemark Office							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Potent and Trademark Office							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Potent and Trademark Office							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	1 ' = ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		•	atom Application (1 10-102)				
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050426				

Art Unit: 2863

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to applicant's response received on 04/19/2005.

The Affidavit filed on 04/19/2005 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the US Patent No. 6,522,991 reference.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 11, 23-24, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khalid (USP 6,231,306) in view of Orme et al. ("Flight Assessment of the Onboard Propulsion System Model for the Performance Seeking Control Algorithm on an F-15 Aircraft", NASA, July 1995).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 11, and 23, Khalid teaches a system for detecting precursors to compressor stall/surge 116 comprising at least one sensor 132 positioned at said compressor 116 to monitor at least one compressor parameter (e.g. Col.2, lines 59-65), said at least one sensor outputting raw data representative of said at least one compressor parameter (e.g. Figs.1, 2, Col.3,

Art Unit: 2863

lines 6-26), said pre-processing being at least partially performed in the digital domain; said pre-processing being at least partially performed in the analog domain (e.g. Col.4, lines 52-62).

Regarding claims 2 and 24, Khalid teaches monitoring the static pressure of gasses flowing through the compressor (e.g. Col.3, lines 6-10).

Regarding claim 3, Khalid teaches monitoring dynamic pressure at least one location within said compressor (Col.2, lines 59-65).

Regarding claim 26, Khalid teaches a pre-filter to reject undesirable signals from said raw data (e.g. Fig.2, Col.3, lines 43-65).

Regarding claim 29, Khalid teaches demodulator operates on said raw data in the analog domain (e.g. Col.4, lines 61-62).

Regarding claim 30, Khalid teaches demodulator operates on said raw data in the digital domain (e.g. Col.4, lines 52-62).

Regarding claim 31, Khalid teaches a low-pass filter filtering the demodulated data to reduce noise (e.g. Col.3, lines 62-65).

Khalid fails to teach a frequency demodulator receiving said raw data, demodulating said raw data, and producing demodulated data; a Kalman filter obtaining stall precursors from said demodulated data.

Orme et al. teach a frequency demodulator (Performance Seeking Control PSC) receiving said raw data (pressure signal), demodulating said raw data, and producing demodulated data (e.g. Fig.2, Page 5); a Kalman filter obtaining stall precursors from said demodulated data (e.g. Fig.3, Page 5).

Art Unit: 2863

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a frequency demodulator and a Kalman filter as taught by Orme et al. in a control system for preventing a compressor stall in a gas turbine engine of Khalid for purpose of providing improving the performance of an airplane (Orme et al., Page 3).

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khalid (USP 6,231,306) in view of Orme et al. ("Flight Assessment of the Onboard Propulsion System Model for the Performance Seeking Control Algorithm on an F-15 Aircraft", NASA, July 1995) as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of Patterson et al. (USP 5,448,881).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Khalid and Orme et al. discussed supra, disclose the claimed invention except monitoring dynamic pressure at a plurality of locations within said compressor.

Patterson et al. disclose monitoring dynamic pressure at a plurality of locations within said compressor (e.g. Col.2, lines 45-50, 64-68, Col.3, lines 21-43, Col.6, lines 5-28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include monitoring dynamic pressure at a plurality of locations within said compressor as taught by Patterson et al. in a control system for preventing a compressor stall in a gas turbine engine of Khalid in view of Orme et al. for purpose of providing a high responsive static pressure sensor array and processing system for combined use in the calculation of inlet face

Art Unit: 2863

distortion and in the recognition of stall precursors associated with near-stall operation (Patterson et al., Col.2, lines 45-50).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6-10, 12-22, 25, 27-28, and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In combination with other limitations of the claims, the cited prior arts fails to teach sampling and digitizing signals representing said at least one compressor parameter to obtain time-series analyzed data, as recited in claim(s) 6.

In combination with other limitations of the claims, the cited prior arts fails to teach pre-filtering time-series signals representing said at least one compressor parameter to reject undesirable signals; frequency demodulating the filtered signal to produce a demodulated signal having an amplitude corresponding to the instantaneous frequency of a locally dominant component of the input signal, and low pass filtering the demodulated signal to reduce noise interference to produce preprocessed signals, as recited in claim(s) 12.

In combination with other limitations of the claims, the cited prior arts fails to teach the Kalman filter computes a filtered estimate of locally dominant components of the preprocessed data, as recited in claim(s) 17.

Art Unit: 2863

In combination with other limitations of the claims, the cited prior arts fails to teach a calibration system for sampling and digitizing output from said at least one sensor to obtain time-series analyzed raw data, said frequency demodulator receiving said time-series analyzed raw data, as recited in claim(s) 25.

In combination with other limitations of the claims, the cited prior arts fails to teach a pre-filter to reject undesirable signals from said raw data prior to being input into said frequency demodulator, said pre-filter comprises a band-pass filter centered on a locally dominant component of the input signal, as recited in claim(s) 27.

In combination with other limitations of the claims, the cited prior arts fails to teach a stall precursor measure system computing a standard deviation of innovations of said Kalman filter to determine a stall precursor signal, as recited in claim(s) 32.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/29/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- --Applicant argues that the prior did not teach "a frequency demodulator" and "a Kalman filter".

Examiner position is that Orme et al. teach a frequency demodulator (Performance Seeking Control PSC) receiving said raw data (pressure signal), demodulating said raw data, and producing demodulated data (e.g. Fig.2, Page 5); a Kalman filter obtaining stall precursors from said demodulated data (e.g. Fig.3, Page 5).

Art Unit: 2863

-Applicant argues that the prior did not teach, "monitoring dynamic pressure at a plurality of location within said compressor" as cited in claim 4.

Examiner position that Patterson teaches monitoring dynamic pressure at a plurality of location within said compressor (e.g. Col.2, lines 45-50, 54-68, Col.3, lines 21-43, Col.6, lines 5-28).

Conclusion

7. Specifically Orme et al. ("Flight Assessment of the Onboard Propulsion System Model for the Performance Seeking Control Algorithm on an F-15 Aircraft", NASA, July 1995) has been added to another ground of rejection.

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John H Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2275. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Art Unit: 2863

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

John H. Le

Patent Examiner-Group 2863

April 27, 2005

MICHAEL NGHIEM